



WHO'S
DECEIVING
THE
LIBERALS?

Unmasking those who
want to steal your future

Jacqueline Hamilton

WHO'S DECEIVING THE LIBERALS?

By Jacqueline Hamilton

© 2005, All rights reserved.

DEDICATION

To God for establishing the rules of life;
To my mentor, R. Utegg, for exposing them to me;
To my children who inspired me to preserve them.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Who's Deceiving the Liberals?	3
Chapter 2: The Strategy of Class Warfare.....	12
Chapter 3: The Five Areas of Infiltration.....	22
Chapter 4: Moving Toward World Government.....	35
Chapter 5: My Life During World War II.....	42
Chapter 6: The Takeover.....	46
Chapter 7: Conclusion and Postscript.....	51
About the Author.....	59
Recommended Reading.....	60

Chapter 1: Who's Deceiving the Liberals?

Living in America it is easy to take freedom for granted, but how do I ignite the passion in you to preserve that freedom? Without this passion, you will put this book away, and it will become a vague memory. The old adage “A picture is worth a thousand words” might be helpful here. Can you picture yourself living under a tyrannical government?

Travel with me to China for a moment, for each of us should know something of the pain experienced by those living today under tyranny. I was one of the first to visit the People's Republic of China after the Cultural Revolution ended in the mid-1970s. It was a shocking experience. When I arrived, most Chinese had never seen a white face, and they had absolutely no concept of what freedom might mean. One young college student ran up to me and pulled on my sleeve.

“Please tell me about America,” he said. “What is the difference?”

I answered, “In my country, we are free.”

“What does that mean, *free*?” he asked.

It was a very emotional moment for me. The Chinese student asked if we could have as many children as we wanted. Was it true that churches required no license, and that I could go to a church of my choosing and worship? He explained that in his province each block had a person who was responsible for the actions of those who lived there. If a woman became pregnant with a second child—in violation of the government's one-child per family law—the money she received from the government was taken away. That explained why abortion and even infanticide had become so common in China.

He related the story of his eldest sister who had married an engineer. The government had determined that too many engineers lived in that part of the city, so his brother-in-law was ordered to another part of the country. Yet the government would not permit his sister to accompany her husband because she was a teacher, and her skills were needed there. They had been married only five weeks when they were separated. They were allowed to see each other two weeks each year.

When I left China, I couldn't help but think of how fortunate we are to live in America and how rare is the freedom we enjoy. My thoughts went to the Germans who tried to go over the Berlin wall to escape from Communist East Germany before that wall finally fell in November 1989. Thousands of boat people died in the South China Sea fleeing Vietnam after that country finally fell to Communist forces in 1975, and thousands more have died fleeing Castro's Cuba. All were willing to risk death rather than continue their enslavement in a socialist society.

My thoughts raced back to the day I watched the news in horror as the people revolted against the Communists in Poland. Young Polish boys were throwing rocks at Soviet tanks, only to be mowed down by machine guns. They knew that their chances for success were almost nil. They were being slaughtered, yet they didn't run and hide. They stood in open defiance against the enemy, knowing that resistance to tyranny was dangerous but essential.

One thing college students are guaranteed to hear on virtually any American campus today is the importance of "peace." What those college professors who speak only of peace don't tell you is that peace, translated into surrender, can become your enemy! Of course peace is a good thing, but is it the highest good? Is it a greater good

than freedom? Consider for a moment all those throughout history who have been enslaved by a tyrant or an oppressive government, but have been willing to forego peace in order to gain their freedom. They could have remained at peace by simply continuing to submit to their dictator. But they knew that the only way they could truly enjoy a just peace was by winning their freedom.

Unless you, today's college students, realize the meaning of freedom and appreciate its significance, you will never be *effective* in fighting to protect it. Through the ages there have been millions of bodies strewn across many battlefields so we can live in freedom. The brave men in uniform paid the ultimate price—freedom is never free! President Bush has said that, “Freedom is a gift from the Almighty,” a statement that echoes America's Founders who wrote in the Declaration of Independence that our rights derive from certain “laws of Nature and Nature's God.” But those God-given rights have been “secured,” to use the Declaration's term, by human beings like us.

A Vietnamese refugee once told the story of how his family escaped to freedom in the late 1970s. His family, along with another family, devised a plan to leave their Communist country. The families spoke of the hazards they would face. Their boat could capsize or the Communist soldiers could capture them. Either eventuality would bring almost certain death. The families met. The first family's eldest son spoke first: “Dying in the open sea isn't any worse than living under a dictatorship. Every man has a right to be free, and I want that chance.”

When the day the two families had set for their escape arrived, this young man in his family's boat was the first to shove off. The day was foggy and he thought his plan would be spoiled. As their boat cut through the fog, they heard gunshots. There was a

dead silence from the other boat, and they knew what this meant. As they passed through the fog, no one said a word. Everyone was gripped by fear. However, instead of foiling their escape, the fog hid the family from the soldiers. Their escape was successful, and they eventually arrived in America!

Imagine being that Vietnamese father, those Polish boys, or those East Germans desperately scaling the Berlin Wall. Those of us, young or old, who were born and have grown up in America have never known what it is like to live without civil liberties or the life of freedom we so easily take for granted.

What if I told you that even while you look forward to the freedom to pursue your dreams, a cancer was growing within our country that will destroy your chances of ever seeing your dreams fulfilled? If this cancer is not detected now, if its growth is not stopped now, your freedom will be lost forever. That threat we face comes from liberalism and those who are unwittingly being used by darker forces. They are being deceived. But who is deceiving the liberals?

Go into any bookstore and in the political section you will find many books written by conservative authors about liberalism. When all the factors are added up in the liberal equation, you'll have a good sense of the "who, what, where, and why." When you finish reading them you will come away with a certain satisfaction that the "who" in the equation is the ultra-liberal whose policies are not in the best interest of America. But, they've left one thing out. They don't tell you the "who" behind the "who." There is a deeper agenda not revealed in these books.

American liberals are guided by a group whose aim is to change—and change quite radically—the American way of life. They pull the strings and liberals jump. They

must control your life and future in order to survive. This elitist group pushes its way into the hearts and minds of the many they lead. Like a cancer that threatens the very life of the body, it threatens the very future of America—your future! This so-called group doesn't belong to an organization that advertises their beliefs, because it is anti-American. The most accurate way to describe them is to say that they represent a movement of like-minded individuals with one goal in mind, specifically, the radical transformation of America. They perpetuate their goal by interaction and networking. They hide behind organizations that cause them to appear legitimate, yet they are working behind the scenes to undermine our security.

Yes, of course, there are those who don't understand what is going on behind the scenes. There are many politically liberal Americans who are patriotic and only want the best for their country. Unfortunately, they don't control the liberal leadership. The largest group that unwittingly follows the Elites' lead we might call the "Lemming Liberals." They don't ask questions because they can't think of a question to ask. Like lemmings following each other, these Americans dutifully follow their leaders.

Others realize what is going on, but are not aware of the consequences if the Elitists succeed. What strategy will they use to impose their will on the people? Strategies and tactics are useless without an audience. The Elitists must make their voices heard, and their members have infiltrated every major organization in America. They hold key positions in religious institutions, the media, the entertainment industry, the environmental movement, and educational institutions. They will infiltrate and deteriorate every aspect of society until the people are sufficiently and *willingly* bent into submission.

Later, I will reveal the identity of the “whom,” the liberal Elites behind the events you see unfolding—events that threaten everything you hope to achieve in life. In addition, I will outline the steps that will be taken to accomplish their goal. However, before I go on, it is imperative that you thoroughly understand your enemy’s thinking, his background, values, and core beliefs. This gives you an advantage in comprehending his strategies and tactics, and in understanding what motivates him.

Sun Tzu, the Chinese warrior and philosopher, once said, “If you know your enemy and know yourself, you need not fear a hundred battles.” That is a truth that will stand forever. So what motivates the Elites? It is a philosophy called “Gnosticism.” In what follows, I explore the meaning of this term, and its impact on the Elites.

The Foundation

Gnosticism, from the Greek word, *gnosis*, meaning “knowledge,” is the belief that secret knowledge is the key to ruling the world. Those who hold to Gnosticism—possessors of that secret knowledge—consider themselves the Elite, more intelligent than the masses, and therefore capable and deserving of controlling society. Throughout the ages there have been men who believe they hold a secret knowledge that enables them—even destines them—to pull political and financial strings and rule the world. According to these enlightened Elitists, writes the author Texe Marrs in his book, *Dark Majesty*, “[t]he world is edging forward, gradually but surely, toward a Golden Age. When that sun-lit day arrives, the mass of people, dull and unenlightened, will have the satisfaction and benefit of being dictated to, and reigned over by an illumined Order of perfected human beings.” Believing they are wiser than the rest of us, these Gnostics care little for

the plight of the common man. People are merely fuel in their engine, moving us all toward a utopia of their making. Have you heard of the “blue bloods?” They think they are American royalty—better than the rest.

Madame Helena Blavatsky (1831-91), a well-known Gnostic and author of *The Secret Doctrine*, summed it up when she wrote that, “the masses are useless eaters” and must be controlled. Blavatsky wrote about the superior race that should by right, lead and rule the masses. This way of thinking was taken to an extreme by Adolph Hitler, a man whose attempt to create a superior race ended in the killing of millions of Jews. To Hitler, they were inferior, and Blavatsky’s book served as his Bible.

Let us peel the proverbial onion a bit and consider another influential thinker behind the Elites’ strategy, Antonio Gramsci, an Italian Marxist intellectual who died in 1937. How could he be so influential? Gramsci realized that the West would not engage in an armed revolution like that experienced by Russia. Instead, Gramsci recommended that the institutions in the West—religion, education, business, art, literature, the family, morals, entertainment, and philosophy—had to be weakened. Once the institutions (and the people in power through those institutions) were eroded, a new Communist utopia could be built. As John Fonte writes, “Gramsci believed that it was necessary to first de-legitimize the dominant belief systems,” and new values must “permeate all spheres of society—schools, churches, the media, voluntary associations.” All of society, not just politics, had to become the battleground for the new social order.

Fonte points out that Gramsci’s core arguments are now lived out in today’s American political scene. For example, Gramsci asserted that racial, ethnic, and gender groups should fight for power. He argued that all of life is caught up in revolutionary

struggle, and that knowledge and morality are merely social constructions—that there is no objective truth. As Fonte remarks, all of these are “assumptions and presuppositions at the very center of today’s politics.”

But, you might ask, what possible impact could a long-dead and obscure Italian Communist theorist have on American culture? For some, he is neither obscure nor his ideas dead: Gramsci’s writings continue to powerfully influence the radical Left. For example, American socialist Michael Lerner wrote in his 1971 book, *The New Socialist Revolution: An Introduction to Its Theory and Strategy*, that, “[t]he first task of the revolutionary movement . . . is to destroy bourgeois hegemony and develop a radical consciousness among each of the potential constituents for revolutionary action.”

Derek Shearer, Professor of Diplomacy and World Affairs at Occidental College in southern California, also follows a Gramscian strategy. In order to avoid using the word “Socialism” he coined the phrase “economic democracy,” as a more acceptable term to describe a regime that is, essentially, socialist in character. In his 1980 book, *Economic Democracy*, Shearer writes that this new political system “must start by dismantling, or at least restructuring, the power of corporations.” He advocates at least partial ownership of corporations by the government.*

Lerner and Shearer are two good examples of the Gnostic intellectual thinker. I will make frequent references to Communism and Socialism in what follows because these related ideologies are the foundation that defines the goals of the Elite. What threads of commonality weave Communism and Socialism together with the American Elitists? First, all hold to the classical Marxist concept that the end justifies the means, a

*See Llewellyn H. Rockwell, Jr., “Left, Lefter, Leftist, Leftissimo,” (Ludwig von Mises Institute, 1993).

belief that gives them license to do whatever they deem necessary to obtain their goal. As Joseph Stalin infamously said in 1933 at the height of the Ukrainian famine in which millions perished, “You can’t make an omelet without breaking a few eggs.” Secondly, they are resolved that the world must be dominated and controlled by those they determine are the more enlightened. For Karl Marx, author of the *Communist Manifesto*, the enlightened few were those intellectuals who understood the inevitable direction of history toward the new Communist society.

Do you sometimes feel like American society is coming apart at the seams? Little wonder, and Gramsci would be delighted to see his radical strategy for social implosion working so effectively! Now that we have comprehended the foundation of Gnosticism, let’s dissect its followers’ strategies and tactics.

Chapter 2: The Strategy of Class Warfare

The Elitists keep people in a perpetual state of dissatisfaction and anger. For example, they want liberal leaders to carve all of America into disgruntled groups. They encourage immigrant and minority groups to see only the worst in American society. They fan the flames of those who see American business as evil. Let's take a look at several aspects of this, and the ways in which it plays out in our country.

Victimhood

The most important tactic the Elites use to gain respectability is to project the image that they are the rescuers of the “victims” of society. In this scenario the Elites portray themselves as the great providers for these “victims,” who, in turn, come to see their very existence as dependent upon the generosity of the Elites. As we might expect, this has resulted in a massive co-dependent relationship across society: the “victims” become dependent on the Elite, and the Elite are able to rely on the votes of the “victims” in order to stay in power. The former slave Booker T. Washington (1856-1915) identified exactly this sort of person over a century ago:

There is a class of colored people who make a business of keeping the troubles, the wrongs, and the hardships of the Negro race before the public. Having learned that they are able to make a living out of their troubles, they have grown into the settled habit of advertising their wrongs—partly because they want sympathy and partly because it pays. Some of these people do not want the Negro to lose his grievances, because they do not want to lose their jobs.

If the Elites actually helped the downcast rise out of their “victimhood,” they would lose power. They perpetuate this dependence by promoting hate. Ironically, these hate-breeders convince the “victims” that there is so much hate in America that they cannot survive without the protection the Elites provide.

For example, these Elites will stand on the rooftops and shout their support for minorities. Their hypocritical and deceptive rhetoric is exposed whenever conservative blacks are nominated for high positions. The Elites' sympathy for blacks is reserved only for those who agree with them. Consequently, they have blocked President Bush's nominations of minority judges. What tactics do the Elites use to discredit qualified blacks? They spend great time and energy in persuading minority communities (black Americans in particular) that those who have made it up the ladder of success are different. They had opportunities that the average blacks—the so-called victims of society—did not have. The Elites have quite successfully cultivated hatred toward black conservatives. For example, why do so many liberals express outrage toward Clarence Thomas, who has achieved in his life's work an appointment to the Supreme Court? Because they cannot tolerate the idea of a successful black American who rejects the Elite agenda and world-view. A successful or prominent conservative black man or woman translates into thousands of lost votes for liberals.

But what's really wrong with success? Justice Thomas was born into a poor southern family. He didn't have much, but he did have determination. In his July 29, 1998 speech to the National Bar Association, Thomas said, "I have come here today to assert my right to think for myself, to refuse to have my ideas assigned to me as though I was an intellectual slave because I am black."

Justice Thomas has refused to remain in bondage to the Elites. He realized that if a man is hungry and merely sits on the bank of a river waiting to be given a fish, he allows others to control him. Thomas realized he had the fortitude and ability to earn his own money, to buy his own boat, to catch his own fish and to feed himself and his

family. More importantly, he knew he could teach his children to fish for themselves and thereby give them an inheritance of freedom and dignity. Thomas recognized that the liberalism of the Elites promised not independence for himself and other blacks, but dependency on government—in effect, a new kind of bondage.

So there are cracks in the liberal Elite's strategy of keeping American minority groups dependent, and Justice Thomas serves only as one of the most prominent examples. Another is the Rev. Jesse Peterson, a black pastor of a church in Los Angeles, who formed an organization called B.O.N.D.—Brotherhood Organization of a New Destiny with the stated purpose of “rebuilding the family by rebuilding the Man.” His book, *From Rage to Responsibility*, which I highly recommend, points out that the problem is not black against white, but rather good against evil, and that the current crop of so-called black leaders uses the “race card” for financial and personal gain.

But for the most part, American Elites continue to operate with a view toward retaining the dependency of minorities. For instance, during the U.S. presidential campaign of 2000, Vice President Al Gore's advisors told him to concentrate on winning in Iowa and New Hampshire and, as the March 18, 2002 edition of *Insight* magazine reported, one advisor actually said, “Clinton will deliver the minorities to him.” What they were saying was that black voters can't think for themselves—that black voters can be counted on. What is the difference between being a slave owner and “owning” the vote of a black person? Gore's political advisor spoke as if one slave owner, President Clinton, was “delivering” slaves from his plantation to another slave owner, Al Gore.

How much prominence did President Clinton give blacks in his administration? Not much. And ask yourself, what policies have liberals pursued over the past thirty years

that have actually helped change the condition of minorities in America? The welfare programs of the 1960s simply led to greater dependency. Federal assistance to poor families resulted in families falling apart, or never taking shape in the first place. In 2003, the National Center for Health Statistics reported that no fewer than *70 percent* of African-American children are now born out of wedlock. “Taxing the rich” led to such hardship for small business owners that the poor couldn’t find jobs. It’s sad—but no exaggeration—to say that the “Great Society” programs created by President Johnson during the 1960s actually created a “Grate Society” with too many people slipping down the drain of America.

Some leaders come to be served, and some come to serve. While certain quarters of society continue to complain that the government hasn’t done enough for them, consider the response of Vietnamese immigrants. They came to America from a war-torn country and landed without a roof over their heads. They possessed only what they could carry on their backs, but they had something else that they carried in their hearts: determination. They believed they could succeed and they constantly told this to their children. As newcomers to America, they hadn’t been exposed to the liberal message of dependence. They didn’t know they were expected to fall in line with the Elites’ mastery over them. Through hard work and continual optimism they graduated from college, became business owners, and forged successful lives.

Jealousy

It is a sad irony that these hardworking people, who just a few short years ago washed up on the shores of America from Vietnam, are now classified as “the rich” and are subjected to the hate-speech of “tax the rich” by the Elites. But who really are these

“rich” that the liberals love to hate? You may think the “rich” are all millionaires. That is what the Elites would like you to think. But with so many two-income families, and expenses on the rise, it doesn’t take much in the way of income or assets for a family to become part of “the rich.” With a college education, a decent job, and the wise practice of saving more than you spend, you, my friend, will likely one day find yourself one of “the rich” whom the liberals want you to hate so much.

The ultra-Left constantly argues that the “rich” should pay their “fair share.” But what is fair about taking a larger percentage of someone’s income merely because he worked hard, took risks, and earned the money? According to the U.S. House of Representatives Joint Economic Committee, the top 10 percent of American income earners paid over 65 percent of Federal Personal Income Tax, and the top 1 percent paid a full 33 percent! * Meanwhile the bottom 50 percent of earners—people earning less than \$28,654 per year, paid a mere 3.5 percent of the total. And the non-partisan Tax Foundation recently reported that over 32 percent of adult Americans in 2004 paid no income taxes at all. What’s fair about that?

Let me tell you a parable. A college student came home during spring break. Earlier that year, one of her professors filled her head with “fair share” liberalism. (Of course, the professor never had to meet a payroll or be responsible for anything more than lecturing to his students.) While at home on spring break she asked her dad, “Why don’t you give more of your money to the poor?”

The dad replied, “I do support the poor—I pay most of the taxes.” He was quite correct in saying this. If a person doesn’t want to pay for hospital insurance, he doesn’t

* See “New IRS Data on Tax Shares Now Available” Congress of the United States, Joint Economic Committee, Press Release, Oct. 14, 2004, [<http://www.house.gov/jec/press/2004/10-14-04.pdf>]

have to. He can enjoy free hospital care because those who work pay higher taxes to cover hospital expenses for the needy. Where do you think the money comes from to pay welfare to the poor and those that choose not to work?

But the daughter was undaunted. “We shouldn’t be greedy with what we have.” The dad thought about this, but said nothing. After spring break, the daughter finished the school year. She forgot about her conversation with her dad. But he hadn’t forgotten, and he devised a plan. When she returned home for the summer, he told her he had received a letter from her school. It stated that while she earned straight A’s, many in her classes had not done so well. Therefore, the school was reassigning a portion of her grades to raise the grades of the other students.

“That’s not fair!” she blurted, as her face grew red with anger. “I know some of my classmates did more partying than studying. Why should I do all the work and have the burden of rewarding others who didn’t work as hard?”

Her dad agreed, “That’s just how I felt when you asked about my income. I’m not guaranteed a salary every week. There have been times when your mother and I felt we would lose everything we had. My employees work from nine to five; at five o’clock they go home. Sometimes I’ve had to stay up most of the night to solve a problem. There was no one else but me to do it.” The daughter smiled and said, “I think you’re making a conservative out of me.”

Like thieves that come in the middle of the night, liberals steal the minds of students. Am I against a liberal philosophy being presented in classes? Of course not. The conservative side of an issue, however, should be presented as well, yet given the background and orientation of most college faculty, this seldom occurs. Little wonder

that colleges and universities are increasingly regarded as liberal strongholds where real education happens less often than indoctrination.

Why don't professors apply their "tax-the-rich" logic to their own grades? Why not argue that the students who earn the highest marks in their classes have had too much advantage in their lives? Shouldn't there be a "safety net" for the student who is failing? Or why not institute "progressive grade taxation" by which students earning a 3.0 grade point average would have some of his points transferred to the student earning a 1.0 or a 2.0 average? Students earning a 3.5 to 4.0 GPA would have to give away more of their points than would the student earning a 3.0 GPA. After all, students who earn higher grades too often might have had the advantage of graduating from better high schools or growing up in better homes. Certainly it could not be true that, by a mere accident of nature, they were born with a higher IQ, greater aptitude, or more ambition than the failing students! If you support a "tax-the-rich" logic when it comes to economics, you should apply the same logic when it comes to academics.

"Socialism is the philosophy of failure, the creed of ignorance, and the gospel of envy." So said Sir Winston Churchill in a speech he delivered in Perth, Australia in 1948. The "tax-the-rich" impulse that so powerfully informs the liberal Elitist thought emerges from one of the most basic of human instincts—jealousy. The fire of prejudice toward the so-called wealthy that burns within so many people comes from the constant whispers of a voice that walks by their side taunting, "They have and you don't." The voice they hear is the voice of the Elite.

Another liberal drumbeat is that a "tax cut" for the wealthy will only benefit the wealthy. This is untrue and defies common sense. When business owners have extra

money it means they can invest in expanding their businesses, which creates more jobs, and provides a stronger economy for everyone. On the other side, is it any surprise that the poorest Americans, who pay no taxes, get no tax cut? Yet over and over again, the hard-working employer is portrayed as the unscrupulous “greedy businessman.”

Does the businessman care for the disadvantaged in society? Stop to think for a moment: who supports the thousands of charities in communities across the country? Those who have money! This is done freely out of compassion, not by government coercion. A “better society” is the very thing the Elites say they want to provide.

One of the most important strategies the Elitists use is to turn people against the business owner. They want to degrade the free enterprise system. What they don’t realize is that if a business owner is truly greedy, it’s not good for business. Employees who are loyal and satisfied with their working environment are the keys to a successful business. Production goes up, and better quality work emerges. Employers are neither guaranteed a salary nor a profit, yet they make sure their employees get a paycheck every week because they understand the importance of employee morale. There is tremendous mental anguish in running a business—anguish that employees rarely, if ever, see.

Invention and progress come from those who are free to pursue their passions and ideas with the hope that they may one day reap rewards for their hard work. Abraham Lincoln made note of this fact in a September 1859 speech to the Wisconsin State Agricultural Society:

The prudent, penniless beginner in the world, labors for wages awhile, saves a surplus with which to buy tools or land, for himself; then labors on his own account another while, and at length hires another new beginner to help him. This, say its advocates, is *free* labor—the just and generous, and prosperous system, which opens the way for all—gives hope to all, and energy, and progress, and improvement of condition to all.

In business and entrepreneurship, Lincoln recognized the opposite of the slave system entrenched in the South. Today, liberals turn on business owners and attack them as “greedy businessmen” even while they benefit from their products and services. Liberals will participate in a march on Washington to condemn American business, but of course, they have to drive a car to get there, stay in a corporate-owned hotel, eat at corporate-owned restaurants, and carry protest placards manufactured by the timber industry.

The “greedy businessman” image influences more than popular support for taxes. Frivolous lawsuits are won because juries sympathize with phony “victims” who present fraudulent claims against the so-called “greedy businessman.” Using the “deep pockets” approach, lawyers go after business owners, focusing more on the size of their assets than the extent of their guilt. A prime target is the housing industry and the asbestos sham. It is properly called a sham because those who sue needn’t prove they have actually been harmed by asbestos. Of course, if a person has truly been hurt by improper use of asbestos, the victim should be compensated. Yet 80 percent of those who sue can’t prove harm, but only that they have been exposed to asbestos.

In one incident a woman sued a business for millions of dollars claiming that because she vacuumed her ceiling *weekly*, the asbestos in the ceiling ruined her health. The fact is, when asbestos is mixed with water, it becomes encapsulated. Only asbestos fibers that are air-borne can be dangerous to your health. But the jury, moved by the “greedy businessman” emotion, ruled in favor of the woman! She not only sued the company that was responsible, she sued other companies that sold the products in other states. It is common for lawyers to file multiple lawsuits against a company. The shame is

that the jurors are not privileged to this information. Collectively, the courts awarded her twenty million dollars. However, most of the money collected went to the lawyers.

Over the years, sixty American businesses have had to file for bankruptcy due to asbestos lawsuits, including Johns Manville—one of the largest building materials manufacturers in the country. These staggering court-mandated awards are putting companies out of business at an alarming rate. In other instances, shipyard workers who contracted asbestosis, and who couldn't receive damages from the now-defunct shipyard, would sue other asbestos-related businesses that had nothing to do with the shipyards. And the “greedy businessman” strategy worked again, with the workers winning large settlements.

The owner of a truck company found himself in a similar situation. An eight-year-old boy ran in front of a truck and was killed. The driver of the truck was completely exonerated by the police report. The owner of the truck showed evidence that his truck had just gone through safety maintenance and was in good working condition. The child came from a troubled home where his siblings had already been taken away from the parents due to neglect. Even though the court deemed the truck driver innocent, the jury awarded the child's mother five million dollars. And another “greedy businessman” had lost his business. Stories like these are examples of what the Elites have caused: a society of jealousy, hate, and class warfare that ultimately keeps them in power.

Chapter 3: The Five Areas of Infiltration

In the preceding chapters I have made allegations that the Elites have infiltrated and influenced every aspect of society. Now it is time to consider what lies behind those allegations, how that infiltration has occurred, and how it continues to take place.

Religion

Most organized Christian denominations belong to the National Council of Churches (NCC). The NCC arose from the Federal Council of Churches, founded by the English Communist Harry F. Ward in 1908. That same year Ward founded a Communist front group called the Methodist Federation for Social Action. As a professor at Union Theological Seminary in New York, Ward was known as the “Red Dean,” and served also as a board member of the American Civil Liberties Union, which boasted numerous American Leftists and radicals as its founders. Ward had one great objective, namely, to dilute religion and its influence. He succeeded in this admirably, as the NCC now influences thirty-six Christian denominations with 140,000 American congregations.

The National Council of Churches belongs to the World Council of Christian Churches (WCCC), and both organizations have been involved in promoting Leftist ideology for years. The WCCC was founded in 1942, and according to a *TIME* magazine article written shortly after its first meeting at Ohio Wesleyan University, the WCCC’s ultimate goal was, “a duly constituted world government . . . an international court . . . international administrative bodies with necessary powers, and adequate international police forces and provision for enforcing its worldwide economic authority.”

What is the result of the infiltration of Marxist ideology into American churches? Protestant church organizations have swung sharply to the left. A survey conducted

among 7,441 Protestant ministers asked the question, “Do you believe the Scriptures are the inerrant Word of God in faith, history, and secular matters?” Of the major organized Protestant denominations—Methodist, Episcopalian, Presbyterian, Baptist, and Lutheran—over 50 percent responded, “No.” How is it that so many ordained ministers who supposedly base their beliefs on the Bible don’t even believe the Bible is the word of God? Schools and seminaries associated with the NCC across America have taught them well.

In July 2004, the leadership of the liberal (and NCC member) Presbyterian Church USA voted to support a strategy to hurt Israel. Accusing Israel—the only nation in the Middle East to give equal voting rights to both Arabs and Jews—of *apartheid*, the church leaders voted to keep major companies from doing business with Israel. The NCC even had the audacity to alter the wording of popular translations of the Bible in order to more effectively promote its liberal agenda. One might say this is the ultimate arrogance of the Elites within the NCC—aiming to change the words of God.

The NCC published a translation of the Bible called the Revised Standard Version (RSV) in the 1950s. In the 1980s, they came out with the New Revised Standard Version (NRSV); and they sure did revise the Bible . . . in 350 places! For example, they pushed the feminist agenda by referring to God as both Father and Mother. And they added women’s names in passages where none appeared in the original texts! Arthur L. Farstad, editor of the *Journal of the Grace Evangelical Society*, points out the “clever and subtle ways offending words, such as *he, his, him, man, men, and brethren*, are excised from the

text.” Recognizing the Communist perversion of the NCC, in August of 2000, the 64th General Synod of the Bible Presbyterian Church officially renounced the NCC.¹

The Environment

By promoting fear of an environmental catastrophe, the Elites hope to gradually place us under the control of the United Nations. Global warming—accepted by the Left as gospel but still the subject of much debate among scientists—is a good example of this fear-mongering. Former Vice President Al Gore has become an advocate for this radical environmental gospel, blaming the terrible devastation of Hurricane Katrina on global warming at the September 2005 Clinton Global Initiative, and promoting it steadily on his new venture, Current TV.

The liberals’ quite predictable solution to this possible problem: severe restrictions on your freedom and mine, alongside international regulation and enforcement.

John R. Christy, Professor of Atmospheric Science and Director of the Earth System Science Center at the University of Alabama in Huntsville, testified before Congress in 2003 regarding global warming. He said:

A fundamental fact that our nation needs to understand is that any of these proposals (for reducing global warming such as the Kyoto Treaty) if implemented, will have an effect on the climate so small that we would not be able to detect it. This is something I can speak to as my work focuses on precise measures of climate quantities. The evidence convinces me that none of these proposals would change to a noticeable degree whatever the climate is going to do.

Regarding the United Nations, *The New York Times* (March 6, 1992) reported that the UN Security Council expanded the concept of “threats to peace” to include economic,

¹ See www.bpc.org/synod/resolutions/064_11.html.

social, and ecological instability. The Elites use people's fear of environmental disaster to numb them into accepting an ever-increasing amount of United Nations authority. And who was the main architect of the UN? None other than Alger Hiss, a man who denied Communist ties to his death, but whose espionage for the Soviet Union can no longer be doubted since scholars have had access to KGB files after that nation's fall.

The UN is promoting what is essentially a type of new world religion through its focus on the environment. At its World Summit on Sustainable Development, or Earth Summit II, held in Johannesburg, South Africa in 2002, the Earth Charter was unveiled. This document calls for global commitment to sixteen principles to save the planet from destruction. At first glance, the Earth Charter appears merely to state a utopian vision for a cleaner and more peaceful world, and who doesn't regard these as desirable goals? But those who promote the Charter refer to it in sacred and spiritual terms. One of the Charter's authors, former Communist leader Mikhail Gorbachev, said in a May 1997 interview with the *Los Angeles Times*, "My hope is that this charter will be a kind of Ten Commandments, a 'Sermon on the Mount,' that provides a guide for human behavior toward the environment. . ." A similar assessment was offered by Canadian billionaire socialist Maurice Strong, the man who presided over the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro. "The real goal of the Earth Charter," said Strong, "is that it will in fact become like the Ten Commandments." For further evidence substantiating the fact that the earth is viewed from a religious viewpoint let's examine the "ark of hope" for the Earth Charter.

The Ark was created by artists in Vermont “as a place of refuge for the Earth Charter document.” It also contains a set of “Temenos Books,” over 600 small handcrafted books “expressing prayers and affirmations for Earth.”

As would be expected, the Charter calls for the “equitable distribution of wealth within nations and among nations.” Translation: the institution of socialist policies in the United States, and the confiscation of your hard-earned dollars through an international tax in order to give it to the poor in other countries. It wasn’t enough for Mikhail Gorbachev to preside over the Soviet Union as it crashed under the weight of Communism. Now he wants you to bear the burden of a global Socialism! But that institution of global Socialism is well-disguised as concern for the environment.

President Clinton had no hesitation in relying on the UN to take control of American lands when useful to the liberal cause. One of the efforts within UNESCO is the United Nations World Heritage Program. The program’s website notes that “World Heritage sites belong to all the peoples of the world, irrespective of the territory on which they are located.” UNESCO’s World Heritage helped President Clinton declare off-limits the development of 19,000 acres of private land near Yellowstone National Park. However, they wanted to go farther and have the government “protect” nearly 18 million acres surrounding Yellowstone National Park, “including millions of acres of privately owned land.” This means, in effect, the de facto confiscation of that land by the government.

President Clinton designated that land as a “national monument,” something he could do without Congressional approval. But more than a few Americans have wondered whether it is right that one man should be able to grab 18 million of acres of

land around Yellowstone, or 1.9 million acres in Utah, or 1,500 square miles in Arizona, or 400,000 acres in California!

Education

The Elites are using education to cripple your chances of success in the future by trying to convince you that you cannot make it in society without the government's help—that is, without the Elites' assistance. George Orwell's "Big Brother" has become "Big Nanny" and is successfully trying to "nurture" every aspect of your life. Starting as early as kindergarten, public schools wean children away from their family's religious beliefs by immersing them in twelve years of secular education. As Warren Nord of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, points out in his 1995 book, *Religion and American Education*, "public schooling, clearly and forcefully, discourages students from thinking about the world in religious ways."

While steering children clear of traditional religion, many public schools have gone to great lengths to instill in them their need for big government. The overriding message is that America has oppressed people and created groups of victims (women, ethnic minorities, the poor, etc.). The remedy is always the same: more and more government—not just state or local government, but government and supervision at the *federal* level.

Public education in the United States used to be a simple proposition: education in basic reading, writing and math skills, and education in American citizenship. Over the years, however, public schools have assumed for themselves additional responsibilities far beyond education. Schools now include medical clinics, food programs, therapy, and often day-care as well as a host of "life skills" courses that are crowded into the public

schools' mandate. What is the value of such classes? At what cost comes their inclusion?

In higher education, the Rockefeller Foundation gives millions of dollars to American universities. And what do these universities promote with the money they receive from the Foundation? They advance the Rockefeller's Elitist agenda of global Socialism. If you, as a college administrator, were receiving sizeable grants from the Rockefeller Foundation, would you not make sure that you hired liberal professors? As the saying goes, it's hard to bite the hand that feeds you. And this strategy has been exceedingly successful. A study published in 2005, based on a survey of 1,643 full-time faculties at 183 colleges and universities, found that "72 percent of those teaching at American universities and colleges are liberal and 15 percent are conservative."

According to a March 29, 2005 story in the *Washington Post*, the difference was even greater at the nation's most elite universities, where 87 percent described themselves as politically liberal, and only 13 percent conservative. But this shouldn't come as a surprise: educational inbreeding results in liberal professors, who are backed by liberal foundation money, hiring ever more liberal professors. And liberal professors are well aware of students who develop an intense interest in politics. They make sure these students are placed in the right positions when they graduate.

When they get to college, students continue to hear a one-sided blast against America. Professors spewed hate for America after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. One professor declared in his class that America deserved to be attacked, and his students, already immersed in anti-American lectures, applauded him! The March 18, 2002 issue of *Insight* magazine reported that a Columbia University history professor

said, “I’m not sure which is more frightening: the horror that engulfed New York City or the apocalyptic rhetoric emanating daily from the White House.” The same magazine also reported that the University of Massachusetts granted a permit for a student rally to protest any use of force against terrorism, but denied a permit for a similar rally to support American war efforts. At Duke University a pro-terrorist was invited to speak. When some students complained, those students were chastised. In 2004 Ward Churchill, Professor of Ethnic Studies at the University of Colorado infamously described the World Trade Center victims as “little Eichmanns” who deserved to die. Churchill has made a fortune in 2005 speaking at college campuses around the nation.

In student textbooks, from elementary school to college, students learn little if anything in most states about American patriots such as Patrick Henry, George Washington, Alexander Hamilton, and Paul Revere. Yet schools are quite willing to introduce students to books like *Heather Has Two Mommies*. As a result of the de-emphasis on American history, and the new emphasis schools place on progressive-liberal thinking, Bill O’Reilly of Fox News reports that 33 percent of students have never heard of World War II. A recent survey by a research arm of the U.S. Department of Education found that 50 percent of high school seniors could not properly identify a passage from the Declaration of Independence.

The nation’s largest teacher’s union, the National Education Association (NEA), has gone global. It founded Education International (EI) in 1993 with over 24 million members worldwide. As one might expect, the NEA and the EI both support the United Nation’s global, socialist agenda.

In sum, the educational plan of the Elites degrades your chance for a successful future by: (1) reducing the influence of religion in students' lives, making them ever more dependent on government as their ultimate provider; (2) undermining their confidence in American ideals, creating disillusionment and hopelessness; and (3) convincing students that their best hope for the future is to identify themselves as a member of one group of victims needing to be rescued by the Elite through government intervention.

The Media

The Elites have a powerful ally in the mainstream media. Journalists have become influential promoters of the liberal agenda. Jennifer Harper, writing in the May 31, 2004 edition of *The Washington Times*, reports on a poll of 547 journalists conducted in May of 2004 that revealed 34 percent of American journalists describing themselves as politically liberal, 54 percent as moderate, and only 7 percent as conservative. In reviewing similar polls in 1981, 1988, and 2001, it's clear that the political tendencies of journalists have moved steadily left. In 1981, 19 percent of journalists leaned right; in 1988 that had dropped to 15 percent; by 2001 only 16 percent described themselves as Republican, and now a mere 7 percent call themselves conservative. Like liberal professors in universities, this is another example of liberals hiring more liberals; and this coming from a profession that supposedly promotes diversity. In an obvious display of bias, "60 percent of national print and 58 percent of national broadcast journalists could not 'think of any' news organizations with an 'especially liberal' tilt in its coverage." Yet when asked if they could "name 'conservative' news organizations, 78 percent of print and 86 percent of broadcast journalist said they could."

How does this liberal bias affect you? One example, pointed out by L. Brent Bozell of the Media Research Center, is the way the media pressed for increased taxes in the late 1980s during President Bush's administration. Bozell writes:

It was former President Bush's famous battle cry of 'Read my lips: No new taxes' in 1988. For two years thereafter, the drumbeat of media opposition to that pledge was merciless. It was reported as fact, over and over again, that the deficit was the root of all fiscal evil and Reagan's tax cuts the cause of the deficit. In fact, 22 major TV network reports on the deficit in 1990 pointed to the need for higher taxes. Not one saw fit to suggest that perhaps the insatiable appetite for new federal spending was the cause, with a fiscal diet in order for Congress. Former President Bush finally crumpled from the onslaught.

The liberal media promoted the Elite's agenda. Government grew, and your economic freedom and mine shrank.

The bias of the major networks is becoming increasingly obvious in recent years. CNN founder Ted Turner has contributed more than \$250 million in support of UN projects and activities. In an essay published in 2000 entitled "The Case for World Democratic Government," veteran broadcaster Walter Cronkite argued that,

. . . if we are to avoid the eventual catastrophic world conflict, we must strengthen the United Nations as a first step toward a world government—with a legislature, executive and judiciary and police to enforce its international laws. To do that, of course, we Americans will have to yield some of our sovereignty.

Most of all, we have the Campaign 2004 "Rathergate" scandal in which journalistic ethics and integrity, not to mention simple fact-checking, were apparently set aside in the rush to attack the more conservative candidate's past.

Entertainment

For years, the Elites have used the entertainment industry to undermine your freedom. How could that be? Wouldn't one expect the entertainment industry to want to

expand freedom of expression? Of course it's easy for a person to *feel* free by using profanity or acting out base instincts. The National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) has used taxpayer money for years to fund art that degenerates society and leads ultimately toward the *loss* of freedom. For example, political commentator Mark Howerter reports on an NEA funded photographic artist who removed the head from a cadaver, split the head down the middle, and then positioned the two halves so both were in profile facing each other, and then photographed it. For his second "artistic" project, he decapitated another cadaver, scooped out the dead man's brains, inserted flowers and took a photograph. Other NEA-funded projects have included animal dung on a painting of the Virgin Mary and the portrayal of a crucifix in a jar of urine.

Like their intellectual cousins in academia and the media, many American entertainers follow the policies of the extreme Left. They swallow the lies and spit out the propaganda. There is no better example than the left-wing Hollywood stars like Barbra Streisand, Sean Penn, Janeane Garofalo, Alan Alda, Alec Baldwin, and Ed Asner. Many of these actors ridicule President Bush and his team, accusing them of ignorance and low intelligence. In an article entitled "Who's Smarter?" columnist Cindy Osborne compared the educational achievement of the President and his team with those of the actors who call him stupid. Osborne points out that President George W. Bush holds a B.A. from Yale and an MBA from Harvard Business School in addition to having served as an F-102 pilot for the Texas Air National Guard—hardly a job for a stupid person. Vice President Cheney earned a B.A. and a Masters Degree in Political Science, while Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld received a scholarship to Princeton. Secretary of Homeland Security Tom Ridge received a scholarship to Harvard and graduated with

honors before going on to earn his Law Degree. Secretary of State Condoleeza Rice earned her B.A. in Political Science from the University of Denver when she was only 19; received her Masters Degree from the University of Notre Dame; and earned her Ph.D. from the Graduate School of International Studies at the University of Denver.

And the actors? Well, Barbra Streisand only completed high school; Alec Baldwin dropped out of George Washington University; Sean Penn and Ed Asner only completed high school; and Janeane Garofalo dropped out of college.

What do you think these Hollywood liberals think of Senator Joe McCarthy? We all know the answer to that question. The answer as to “why” there is so much hatred for him can only be answered by examining the foundation of the question. The Communist targeted sections of society, like the film industry, to recruit people and influence society.

Senator Joseph McCarthy began to uncover the infiltration of Communists into the Hollywood establishment. He was eventually silenced and ridiculed through a clever plan hatched by the Communists themselves. I learned about this personally through a conversation with a former American Communist, active during the “McCarthy Era” of the 1950s. He explained to me that McCarthy told a newspaper editor that on the upcoming Friday he would reveal the names of Communists he had uncovered within the Screen Actors Guild and accuse the Guild of maintaining Communist Party ties. The editor, a Communist himself, talked to his party bosses who told him to announce on Thursday that the Screen Actors Guild had discovered Communists in their organization and they were to be fired. But those fired on Thursday were replaced on Monday. This tactic undermined McCarthy’s efforts and turned the leadership of the Guild into martyrs.

Anyone disputing the film director's position during this time couldn't find work. They concocted the slogan "You must think there is a Communist under every rock!" This former Communist explained that the Communist leadership itself came up with that phrase as a disinformation technique to discredit the people investigating them. It was intended to make people think it was "crazy" to be concerned about Communist influence in America. They have succeeded so well that it is still considered gauche in polite circles to describe someone as a "Communist" no matter how clearly devoted to Communist ideology that person might be.

However, after the collapse of the Soviet Union, their archives revealed that by and large Senator McCarthy was right! American government officials *had* been spies for Communist Russia, as documented by the Venona Papers. In a May 2003 column, Pat Buchanan summarizes these new revelations:

Alger Hiss and Lawrence Duggan, two of the highest ranking diplomats at The State Department were Communist traitors and spies. Hiss stood behind FDR at Yalta when Eastern Europe was signed away to Stalin and helped shape the United Nations for Harry Truman. Harry Dexter White, father of the International Monetary Fund and the 'Morgenthau Plan' to smash all German industry after the war—a plan embraced, and then disowned, by FDR—was a Soviet agent. Truman knew it by 1946 and covered it up. Lauchlin Currie was a Soviet spy on the White House staff. William Remington was the Soviet spy at Commerce. Judith Coplon headed up a spy ring at Justice with access to the FBI secrets and files she transferred to Soviet agents. The Rosenbergs were Communist traitors who gave their Russian handlers secrets of the atom bomb. The brother of Robert Oppenheimer, father of the A-bomb, was a Communist, as was his wife, who was a lifelong friend of Steve Nelson, a key figure in the Communist Party underground apparatus. On and on the list goes.

Still today the influence of Communism is still being felt. Acclaimed actress Bo Derek was being interviewed on television and she complained that the conservatives in Hollywood aren't welcome. In an odd reversal of the McCarthy era, it is the

conservatives in Hollywood who must keep their politics hidden to avoid being blacklisted.

Chapter 4: Moving Toward World Government

Previously in this book I've said that I would reveal who is behind the sinister plot to undermine America. It is the promoters of a "One-World" philosophy—those who will usher in the new world order. This idea is beyond Communism in scope, older than Communist theory, and rooted in Gnostic philosophy. This is the final move of those who want a one-world government. The Elites must have an organization to carry out their plan to dismantle traditional institutions and values. The United Nations is the perfect vehicle for implementing their plan. Rather than an organization for the peaceful settlement of international disputes, the UN seeks to dismantle national sovereignty and create a world government. Does this sound far-fetched?

Just two years after its founding in 1945, the United Nations, through UNESCO, (United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization) developed a long-term plan to move children toward accepting a world government. Jon Christian Ryter writing for Newswithviews.com reveals that plan:

Julian Huxley, UNESCO's Director General issued a scholastic directive entitled 'In the Classrooms with Children Under 13-years of Age.' [He wrote] "The kindergarten or infant school has a significant part to play in the child's education. Not only can it correct many of the errors of home training, but it can also prepare the child for . . . social identification that he must achieve on his way to membership in the world society.

The Elites' intentions can be exposed by reviewing their own words. For example Jim Garrison, President of the Gorbachev Foundation, USA said in 1995 that, "Over the next twenty to thirty years, we are going to end up with world government. It's inevitable . . . [W]e have to empower the United Nations and . . . we have to govern and regulate human interaction."

What would such a global authority do? One thing the UN is already establishing is a World Court—a permanent international tribunal that would be able to reach into any country and trump that country’s own laws with the international court’s rulings.

President Clinton signed a treaty committing the United States to place itself under the World Court’s authority. The only thing standing between our rights as Americans and the World Court has been the refusal of the U.S. Senate to ratify the treaty.

Some may argue that the UN wants only to promote peace. Why then, has the UN voted to officially condemn Israel numerous times in its struggle to defend itself against Palestinian terrorists, yet has never condemned the terrorists? If peace is the objective of the UN, why would a UN ambulance assist in a terrorist attack against Israel? In May of 2004, a Reuters TV cameraman captured on video armed gunmen using a UN ambulance to make a getaway from a terrorist attack in which six Israeli soldiers were killed.²

We might well note also that the UN has become notoriously incapable of doing what good things it was hoped it could accomplish, namely, to serve as a means to prevent war and the horrors of genocide. In 2000, the UN produced a report in which it blamed itself for failing to intervene in Rwanda in 1994 when 800,000 tribal peoples were killed. Yet, amazingly, in January of 2005, the UN appeared to be repeating this mistake, refusing to define the deaths of 70,000 people in Sudan’s Darfur region as genocide. And alongside this outrage the UN is experiencing several sex scandals, several embezzlement scandals, and what appears to be a monumental scandal connected to the Oil-for-Food program that supported Saddam Hussein’s regime during the 1990s.

² To see this footage, visit www.accessmiddleeast.org; then conduct a search on video footage for May 28, 2004 to find “PA using ambulances.”

The UN and its Elite puppeteers must break people away from their loyalty to traditional institutions and values. The UN's goal follows the Gramscian strategy I have outlined above. Former UN Assistant Secretary General Robert Muller openly calls for the UN to become a global government. On his Web site (www.goodmorningworld.org), Muller writes of the many problems facing the world today, concluding with this:

In my view, after fifty-five years of service in the United Nations system, all the above points to the utmost urgency and absolute necessity for proper Earth government. . . . [I]t is high time for leaders of nations to make the United Nations the leading global agency of the planet in the new-age and millennium, to finally climax into the central legislative, executive and judicial institution on Earth.

Muller proposes a “World Conference of all world federalist and world government associations and movements, to propose a federal constitution and system for the Earth.” He praises the fact that “nations in the United Nations have reduced to some extent their national fundamentalism called sovereignty.” He writes that the “most important idea and conclusion after all my adult life as a world civil servant is this: the United Nations must be vastly strengthened to resolve the major global problems, henceforth, increasingly confronting humanity and the earth. It must be empowered to adopt and enforce world laws and regulations.”

In an October 24, 2002 article (also posted on Muller's website), former President Jimmy Carter praised Muller for his work in “world governance” and “global education.” Let me ask you, do you want bureaucrats in Nigeria, Saudi Arabia, and North Korea creating and enforcing laws that regulate your life?

For two reasons you may not know about this sinister plot. First, it is nearly impossible for us as Americans to imagine that someone would actually plan to destroy our way of life. Americans traditionally think the best of people, in spite of the rhetoric

we hear almost daily from the Left that America is so bad. The second reason you may not know about this plot is because the Left uses a very effective method to keep you from exploring its activities, what we might call the “Radical Right” tactic. This tactic ridicules as extremist or crazy anyone who claims to see a problem. Begin to question their activities, and they say, “Oh, you’re one of those conspiracy theorists;” or “You’re part of the Radical Right,” as Hillary Clinton said in a television interview when she defended her husband regarding his affair with Monica Lewinsky.

I have gone to very influential people in top positions in Washington, D.C. and have asked them to come out with the information they know about the liberal agenda. They all say the same thing: “I’ll lose my credibility. I have to do it subtly.” The liberals have won this battle of intimidation; it cannot be denied. They have repeatedly implemented the “Communist under every rock” mentality, and their deceptive method has been successful.

The promoters of a One-World Government have infiltrated the very top leadership of American government. This is best evidenced by the influence they have had on Bill and Hillary Clinton—both of whom are strongly committed to their agenda.

Earlier I explained the connection between Italian Marxist Antonio Gramsci and 1960s radicals like Michael Learner and Derek Shearer. What you may not know is that Michael Learner eventually became an advisor to Hillary Clinton while she was First Lady! His best-known book is entitled *The Politics of Meaning*. (See “All Politics is Cosmic,” *The Atlantic Monthly*, June 1996). Hillary, you may recall, had another “special advisor” when she claimed to have made contact with the spirit of Eleanor Roosevelt.

In her continued support for worldwide Socialism, Hillary is also a supporter of the World Federalists who seek to subordinate American sovereignty—that is to say, your freedom—to a global government. Had Hillary Clinton been successful in implementing her national health plan in the early 1990s, the results for American health care would have been tragic. In Canada, where nationalized health care has been in place for several decades, the waiting list for particular types of medical attention can be up to two years—small comfort if you need a life-saving operation right now. Because healthcare is “free” everyone goes for the slightest problem. Serious cases do not take priority.

Socialism cannot work; it has never been successful in any part of the world where it has been tried. The reason is quite simple: it is the destroyer of creativity and imagination. Under Socialism all men must *be* equal. But this is built on the fallacy that we are created equal in our abilities. Some of us have a burning desire to explore, create and to invent. Others excel at working, teaching, or homemaking. All of us have the right, under God, to dream dreams, have visions and birth them into reality to their fullest expression. By contrast, under Socialism, all of us are controlled and must be mediocre—those who yearn for more than mediocrity can become dangerous! Where would the world have been today if Einstein or the many other great minds had been handicapped under Communism? Think of the great strides that have been made in medicine, technology, and science under the free-enterprise system. It’s no accident that these advances took place where they did, in a system in which the inventors have incentive to invent, and not in a socialist system where there are no rewards for creativity or hard work.

Moreover, Socialism demands that government rule every part of one's life. In a speech delivered in London in 1950, Winston Churchill observed that "Socialism is based on the idea of an all-powerful State which owns everything, plans everything, which distributes everything, and thus through its politicians and officials decides the daily life of the individual citizen." The entrepreneurship and inventiveness that comes from freedom is stifled when we are forced to be dependent on government.

Let's summarize what life would be like living under socialist tyranny:

- A. Creativity and imagination are destroyed;
- B. The Elite (Gnostics) are now controlling your life—they know you are incapable;
- C. The word "profit" is non-existent;
- D. Producers have been turned into puppets;
- E. Freedom is taken away.

What happens when the producers, "the haves," no longer possess the ability to take care of the "have-nots"? The obvious answer is a failed government. And what better example exists than Russia during the Soviet era? The people's spirit died, and when a spirit dies, the mind becomes stale; when the mind becomes stale, the nation becomes stagnant.

We have examined Hillary Clinton's record; now let's examine Bill Clinton's. Derek Shearer, whom I also mentioned earlier as a committed Gramscian Socialist, served as a key economic advisor to Bill Clinton and was appointed as his administration's Ambassador to Finland!

To give further evidence of the connection between the socialistic philosophies of Bill Clinton, consider his 1992 acceptance speech at the Democratic National

Convention. When he delivered his acceptance speech, the only person he mentioned, besides his mother and grandfather, was a professor at Georgetown University's Foreign Service School named Carroll Quigley. As Phyllis Schlafly reported in 1966, Quigley published a book called *Tragedy and Hope* in which:

[H]e approvingly described the small Elite group which he said actually runs the United States. Quigley labeled this group 'the Network,' and he said it consists of men who are 'cosmopolitan and international...close to governments...equally devoted to secrecy and the secret use of financial influence in political life.' Professor Quigley taught his student from Hope, Arkansas, how to tap into the power centers of the Network, the people who yearn to control the world through the mechanisms of global governance. When Bill Clinton became President, he surrounded himself with people who share that vision.³

Consider that men like Strobe Talbott, foreign policy aide to President Clinton, predicted that we will have a one-world government. In the July 20, 1992 issue of *TIME* magazine, he wrote in an essay, "nationhood as we know it will be obsolete; all states will recognize a single, global authority."

On December 31, 2000, President Clinton signed the 1998 Rome Treaty on the International Criminal Court. Thankfully, the Senate has not yet ratified it. If it is ratified, it will mean that U.S. criminal courts (using U.S. laws protecting your freedom) will be subordinate to the International Criminal Court (ICC).

Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor has said, "Conclusions reached by other countries and by the international community should at times constitute persuasive authority on American courts," a judicial philosophy that Morgan Norval of the Selous Foundation has termed 'trans-judicialism.' Justices Anthony Kennedy and Stephen Breyer also hold this view, which will allow an American citizen—whether abroad or at home in

³ "Global Goals of the Clinton Administration," *The Phyllis Schlafly Report*, Vol. 31, No.3; October 1997.

the U.S.—to be accused of crime, tried, convicted and even imprisoned abroad!

Chapter 5: My Life During World War II

Saturdays and Sundays had been days to play, climb trees, throw rocks in the pond, and just be a carefree nine-year-old. All of that changed for me on December 7, 1941. When I came home my mother was huddled by the radio crying. I asked, “What’s the matter?” She responded with tears flowing down her cheeks that we were at war. The Japanese had just bombed Pearl Harbor!

What did that mean to a child? I was about to find out. Recruiting stations popped up on block after block in our community. Young men were signing up to go to war. Things weren’t the same after that. My world turned upside down. Mothers left their babies at home to go work in defense factories. My dad gave up a good job to work in the shipyards. Saturdays and Sundays were the nights that had been special with popcorn, chocolate cake, laughter, and our favorite radio program. All of that was replaced with depression, anxiety, and news of the war. Household items that we took for granted had to be rationed. We were allowed to buy only a certain amount of sugar with our ration stamps. Hot cookies coming out of the oven were a thing of the past. We never had enough coupons to buy shoes. However, it’s amazing how you can make do when “necessity” becomes the forerunner of “want.” To make our shoes last a little longer, we put cardboard inside of them.

The air raid drills were frightening. If the Japanese could see an illuminated city, it would be easier to drop their bombs on specific targets. When we heard the siren, every light in the city was turned off. We sat in the dark with candles surrounding us. The worry and anxiety my parents felt was obvious. They tried to make life normal for me, but life wasn’t normal.

Then came those horrifying days when neighbors around us would receive notice that their sons had been killed in battle. The war didn't just affect some far away country; it tore the hearts out of mothers and fathers in our community. At nine, I didn't understand the whole idea, but I did know freedom was important enough for these young men to die for it. It was a powerful lesson for me. It had an enormous impact on my life.

Now, many years later, my travels have taken me to almost every part of the world, and this I know: the free enterprise system we live under is responsible for creating and maintaining freedom. It provides more opportunities for more people than any other system in the history of mankind.

Are We Close To Losing Our Freedom?

Alexander Tyler wrote about the fall of the ancient Athenian Republic. His words are a warning to us today. He wrote, "The average age of the world's greatest civilizations from the beginning of history, has been about 200 years. During those 200 years, these nations always have moved through the following sequence:

From bondage to spiritual faith;
From spiritual faith to great courage;
From courage to liberty;
From liberty to abundance;
From abundance to complacency;
From complacency to apathy;
From apathy to dependence;
From dependence back to bondage.

After World War II, America moved from courage to liberty. Mike Logan is a cowboy poet living in Montana who wrote a poem depicting America during that time in the

1950s. It is called "There Was A Time." When I met him, he told me how he came to write the poem. He was feeling sad thinking about the moral decline of our nation and how so many people in our country were without character. He was silent for a moment and then was inspired by his memories of what America used to be as he was growing up. He brought out his book of poetry and started reading:

There was a time when handshakes
Meant more'n a contract now
When if you gave a man your hand
You took a solemn vow

There was a time when justice
Meant more'n just playin' a game
When guilty men weren't turned loose
To rob and kill and maim

There was a time when marriage
Meant more'n just saying "I do."
When man and wife were mates for life
And worked the tough times through

There was a time when manners
Meant more'n just "thanks" and "please."
When men could rise or hold the door
And not feel ill at ease

There was a time when freedom
Meant more'n just "What's for me?"
When each right carried with it
Responsibility

There was a time when fam'lies
Meant more'n just groups hard pressed.
When mothers still taught daughters
And fathers still knew best

There was a time when learnin'
Meant more'n just what's in school
When neighbors helped each other
And we lived by the Golden Rule

There was a time when American

Meant strong and proud and free,
When people's thoughts weren't molded,
By that wasteland on T.V.

There was a time, there was a time.
That time could come again,
If wisdom, love, and honor
Would rule the hearts of men.

Mike Logan, 1990

Where are we now? We are presently in what Tyler described as moving from dependence back to bondage. Good illustrations of that would be the enormous welfare recipients and the lack of responsibility to take of oneself. History can be translated differently. Socialism equals control, control equals loss of freedom, and loss of freedom equals rebellion.

Chapter 6: The Take Over

Unless we, as Americans wake up, our sovereignty will be lost! How will the Elite take over our government? Through the United Nations. Their goal is to take away your rights as an American and subject you to their authority. The American flag will be a thing of the past. Flying over the capital will be the flag of the United Nations, the same insignia that some of our soldiers wear on their military uniforms today. Their goal is a world government, controlling your life to create an imagined utopia on Earth. They are creating cultural implosion as the *means*, so people will welcome, even clamor for, their rule. The Elites will follow a plan to take away your freedom, and it is already in motion. It involves six steps:

1. THE BREAKING DOWN OF MORALS

Look at the trash on television, the breakdown of the family, the acceptance of abortion, the rise in drug abuse, the flaunting of homosexuality, the rise in gambling, and the distribution of condoms to children in schools. As people seek their own pleasure and self-centeredness, it moves them away from an allegiance to God. The moral vacuum that this creates is filled by the State. The relentless struggle of the ACLU to remove God from our classrooms is becoming more and more evident. We should remember the remark by Benjamin Franklin in his letter to the Abbes Chalut and Arnaud, April 17, 1787, that, “only a virtuous people are capable of freedom. As nations become corrupt and vicious, they have more need of masters.”

2. ORDO AB CHAO

This is Latin for “Order from Chaos.” The first step for the Elitists in controlling society with their global agenda is to create such chaos that people will clamor for

order—any order. Fear of an uncertain future will motivate people to accept more and more control and regulation from the government. You don't think so? Consider just a few examples. Are you afraid of retirement? The government will provide Social Security, but it will tell you when and how much you can get. Afraid for your health? The government will provide health care, but it will tell you when and how much you can receive. Afraid of being uneducated? The government will provide it, but it will tell you what school to attend and what you will learn. Afraid of crime? The government will protect you, but first, the Elites argue, you must give up your means of self-defense.

The government can take advantage of chaotic situations such as the student massacres at Columbine High School. People clamored for gun control to end the chaos. Laws are passed, and the liberal Elitists gain their desired result: a further and deepened control over people. Don't be surprised if the government manufactures chaos in order to tighten its grip.

3. DISARMING CITIZENS

To gain control of society, the Elites cannot allow people to be armed with guns. Disarm a people and they can't fight back, and a few will be able to control the masses, putting the population completely at the mercy of those who control them. The Elites want you to think that gun control is for your own protection. Of course, people will rally around the idea of protecting children in schools from the danger of guns. That, however, is not the motive behind gun control. The motive is to register

privately owned guns. This gives the government vital information about who has guns and where they are. Information is power. Do you think that is dangerous? Our forefathers certainly thought so. They created the Second Amendment specifically guaranteeing people's right to protect themselves and their liberties. Why do the Elites want gun control when there are already laws on the books that are not being enforced? They must know who the armed citizens are.

4. STRENGTHEN THE POWER OF THE UNITED NATIONS

Once they have greater control over citizens, the Elites will be able to shift the reins of power more easily to the United Nations. By that time, most citizens will be convinced that it is the best way to create order—international order—out of chaos. This also includes shifting American military weapons from protecting national sovereignty to advancing the global agenda of the United Nations. The UN has already outlined this three-stage plan in a book entitled, *Freedom from War*. It calls for the gradual elimination of military weapons except for those used to maintain internal order.

5. ESTABLISH A NEW RELIGION FOR THE WORLD

In an attempt to unite people behind a global government, the Elite will push a one-world religion. This will be done by first melding all religions together and claiming all religions worship the same God. They will persecute those who claim an exclusive path to God in order to establish a New-Age religion which promotes the Gnostic belief that man is God.

6. DO AWAY WITH CHRISTIANS

One of the main obstacles in moving to a global government is Christianity.

Christians believe that God created people to be free. This works against the schemes of the Elites to usher in the new world order. To have the new government work properly, all Christians who do not cooperate will have to be eliminated. The hatred for Christians has escalated at an alarming proportion. More Christians were martyred in the twentieth century than in all previous centuries.

In order for Communism to be successful God must be eliminated from society.

People must look to the government rather than to God as their savior. Evidence for this is apparent when we watch the ACLU at work, trying to destroy Christianity every step of the way. The liberals will never be successful, it's impossible! They could control one's actions, but they can't control one's mind. Eventually the spirit will revolt and seek truth.

Conclusion and Postscript

Who is behind the scenes trying to tear down your way of life? There are those in our society I call the “hate-America” crowd. They are easy to pick out. They would vote against any bill that comes before Congress that would strengthen America’s position in the world, as strength is an obstacle in melting down the world’s powers into one world. Let’s look at a few examples. The liberals voted against a bill that would allow us to drill in Alaska, knowing full well a vote against drilling would handicap us by making us dependent on foreign oil.

Let’s look at the Patriot Act. President Bush made it clear that the Patriot Act was a vital tool in protecting us from our enemy. Of course, “the hate-America” crowd voted against it. Maybe it is time to find out just who our enemies are.

The liberals say that America is responsible for everything evil in the world. They want us to give in to the terrorists by leaving Iraq. They want to give up American sovereignty and come under the control of a global government. When they argue that America should turn over control of the war in Iraq to the UN, they reveal that they feel more comfortable following the leadership of an international authority than following their own elected officials. They would give up the power of their own vote by throwing it away to some politician in Europe who is not accountable to the American people. Let the American people control their own future. But liberal politicians want you to give up your power as a voter by agreeing to turn foreign policy decisions over to European politicians.

Those of us who remember World War II grew up loving our country. We didn't have professors telling us how evil America was. Freedom was the theme, and America was the defender of freedom and the liberator of the oppressed.

The Elites understood it would take time to brainwash America. They knew they would have to wait until those who knew the struggle of World War II were older. They needed time to make patriotism seem old-fashioned. They were willing to wait until the time when the singing of the "Star Spangled Banner" no longer caused a lump in the throats of the young generation. They were willing to wait until holding your hand over your heart seemed sentimental and unnecessary. Brainwashing the minds of a generation takes time. Little by little it has come about and has had its effect.

However, it is not too late! There is one thing that our enemies haven't counted on: the stirring of passion within all of us—the call to fulfill our destiny. It is an emotional stirring that is innate in all of us. (Of course, unless there are environmental factors that can upset that balance in some individuals.) To sum it up, the old adage, "to give is better than to receive" couldn't be more apropos in explaining this philosophy.

This passion is easily recognized when career choices are made. Great examples are doctors, nurses, firefighters, and paramedics. In each profession, giving of themselves is their main criteria. What makes this philosophy so important is that the Elites can't fight it! They can't persuade our soldiers to give up, to back away from their passion to make the world a better place for future generations, and to ensure freedom can be obtained for others, even if it means making the "ultimate sacrifice."

I believe giving up a life, even at a young age, for "something" is far better than growing old and giving back "nothing." I was watching a TV interview with a marine

who had lost his leg in the war. He obviously shared my belief. This brave man volunteered to return to Iraq, and was awaiting orders to rejoin the fray. He said every man in his unit felt the same way. I can only say, along with millions of Americans, thank you. These soldiers and those who support them give me hope in America.

Postscript: Who is the “Who” Behind the Elite?

I was finished with this booklet and shared a draft of it with a friend. He agreed with me that the Elites are undermining our freedom. He was quiet for a moment before saying, “There is something much more important than losing your freedom.”

“What do you mean?” I asked in astonishment. What could be worse than losing your freedom?”

My friend replied, “Losing your soul.”

He continued, “You have the wrong ‘who’ in your equation. The ‘who’ is the anti-Christ.” He pointed out that the way my booklet was written, evil triumphs over good. Calmly he said, “The world won’t end like that.”

The anti-Christ will rule over the new world government, but God will destroy him. He asked me to read Revelations 19. “In the end, the conspirators will be totally vanquished because God has been, and always will be, in control. He allows the conspiracy to exist only to fulfill His will.” God will overcome this world leader, whom the Bible calls the anti-Christ.

I was curious. “If God has a plan, why do anything?” I asked. My friend retorted, “God not only expects us to stand for truth, but defend it.” He went on, “To ignore evil is to allow it.” His words had an enormous effect on me. I became eager to do more research. I found interesting passages of Scripture that described God’s plans for the future. Texe Marrs, in his 1992 book, *Dark Majesty*, made the following observations about the Bible:

- The Bible predicts a world-wide ruler:

“All who dwell on the earth will worship him...” Revelation 13:8

- There will be an Elitist group to support the world dictator:

“And after the league is made with him he shall act deceitfully, for he shall come up and become strong with a small number of people.” Daniel 11:23

- Occultism will increase:

“[I]n the latter times some will depart from the faith, giving heed to deceiving spirits and doctrines of demons.” I Timothy 4:1

I realized Gnosticism was the perfect vehicle for Satan’s lies and deceit. It was the same treachery he used to deceive Eve in the Garden of Eden. He told her to eat of the forbidden fruit because it would give her greater insight—she would be as knowledgeable as God; she would be his equal!

And I began to see the connections. There is an unseen hand behind the Elites—the “who” behind the “who” in the sinister developments in the world. This “who” works in secret. Indeed, he is so secretive that those he controls aren’t even aware of his influence on their lives. The hidden hand is that of Satan himself. He whispers in the ears of the liberal Elite that they are gods, that they can control the future. But all along it is he who moves the movers. He causes the Elites to breed the hate, the class envy, the “victim” mentality, and the undermining of religion. He promotes the idea that creating dependence is compassionate. It is he who causes liberals to seek to destroy everything that America used to be in order to create an America that represses freedom, and it is he who perverts churches that once were good. For example, the Reverend Nancy Webb of Foundry United Methodist church in Washington, D.C., wrote an article glorifying Wicca (Satanic) practices. Reverend Webb is the minister of *children’s* education at the church!

Insight Magazine reported that when questioned by fellow reporters, Reverend Webb responded that she found the rituals ‘helpful.’ *Insight* interviewed Wicca high priest, Phyllis Currot regarding Wicca beliefs: “The Goddess takes on many forms such as the mythological Greek deities Artemis, Gaia, and Sophia.” Gaia is a favorite name among left-wing environmentalists for “mother earth.” Reverend Webb was not removed from her position. Guess who went to that church? The Clintons.

In a 1993 church conference called “the Reimagining Conference,” as many as 2,200 conference participants shared in a blasphemous perversion of Christian Communion. Instead of the traditional wine and bread representing Christ’s blood and body, the feminist conference attendees created a communion of milk and honey and recited a prayer, “To our maker Sophia.” Who but Satan could be behind the scenes enticing these women to blaspheme their own religion?

After researching the occult connection with the Gnostic One-World movement, I understood how it could be that former UN Assistant Secretary General Robert Muller created the *World Core Curriculum* based on occult teaching. In the preface to his curriculum manual, Muller writes, “the underlying philosophy upon which [the curriculum] is based will be found in the teaching set forth in the books of Alice A. Bailey by the Tibetan teacher Djwhal Khul . . .” Alice Bailey has written many occult books and claims to be the recipient of spiritual revelation telepathically transmitted to her by “Ascended Masters.” Bailey was the founder of the Lucifer Trust which later changed its name to Lucis Trust.

True Gnostics believe that when you receive Gnostic knowledge you reach a higher consciousness and are favored by their deity (Lucifer) to become partakers of the

“Higher Mysteries” and see with the Eye of Intuition. They are chosen to become one with the Supreme Being who possesses the omnipresent and “All Seeing Eye,” which represents Horus, the pagan Egyptian sun god.

The meaning behind the “All-Seeing Eye” is complex, but is easy to understand if we think of the word “see” in its alternative sense, with the same meaning as “I know.” The “light” or “mind of god,” is the energy that radiates from the heat of the sun, which is the energy part of life. Alice Bailey believed that man receives special knowledge from the rays of the sun god and thus becomes enlightened by this spiritual illumination.

When you hear the words “illumination” or “enlightened,” a red flag should go up, for these words are commonly used by the occult. Bailey’s reference to the “Ascended Masters” now makes more sense. You can see the occult symbol of the “All Seeing Eye” on the dollar bill, along with the phrase “New World Order” in Latin. Who was responsible for this work of art? It was Henry Wallace, Vice President to Franklin D. Roosevelt, and a Communist sympathizer who ran for President in 1948 as the far Left Progressive Party candidate.

Shortly after 9/11, I heard a caller to a radio talk show asking how anyone could embrace a God cruel enough to allow the attacks of September 11th. But did God really orchestrate the disaster of September 11th? Or is it more accurate to say that the hijackers of those planes listened to the voices of demons telling them that killing thousands of people was somehow justified? Thoughts can only come from two sources: Good or Evil. A Scripture verse from Ephesians 6:12 comes to mind in this context: “Our struggle is not against flesh and blood, but against the rulers, against the authorities, against the powers of this dark world and against the spiritual forces of evil in the heavenly realms.”

The consequences that result from our sin cannot be blamed on God. At the end of the conversation the listener's voice kept rising. He ended the conversation blaming the Christians, as well as God. He called the Christians "uneducated fools," exactly the type of criticism a Gnostic might make. What does God say about fools? Let's look at Luke 10:21:

Praise you Lord of heaven and earth that you have hidden these things from the wise and prudent and revealed them to babes; the so called wise ones, of this world, the Elite, think they are gods, but God calls them foolish *They will never truly be wise because they reject God.*

In rejecting God, Satan has won the battle by making fools of the Gnostics. They traded their souls for power and greed. Sin, indeed, has a consequence. The lie that they could be equal to God was believed, and now they must wear the cloak of the damned.

It is a common belief that when Eve took the first bite of the apple this act gave birth to original sin. I believe it was born the very moment she believed Satan's lie—that man could be as knowledgeable as God. At that precise moment, when Satan whispered in her ear, Gnosticism was planted in the hearts and minds of man. Gnosticism kept the lie alive, with its roots nourished by the thread of deceit.

How did Gnosticism sustain itself? Satan was quite clever. He knew if man's "ego" was in control there would be no other God. The Gnostic belief system dictates that his ego is the highest form of authority. Under this premise who can he look to for forgiveness? Certainly not himself! However, Redemption can only be given by God through repentance. Without repentance man's sin cannot be forgiven. The tragedy of this is that without God's forgiveness there can be no "clean slate." The burden of sin becomes heavier and heavier until it finally crushes a man's spirit.

In the end man's foolishness will be apparent. Human intellect cannot challenge God's wisdom. In the end, God's wisdom will destroy the lie. Pages of history are still being written. The drama that is being played out on the world stage is not make-believe; it is real. How the *last* scene is played when the curtain comes down will decide your eternal future. Once the curtain comes down and the theater is dark, history cannot rewrite that last scene.

About the Author

The author is a native Californian who resides there with her husband and six children.

Before writing, *Who's Deceiving the Liberals*, she wrote two previous books. The first, a children's book titled *Peter Pumpkin*, followed by *The Gift of the Unborn Child*. The second book addresses the confusion and pain a mother feels when she has gone through an abortion.

She is on the board of directors for the V.I.N.E. (Voices in Need Everywhere) an organization that helps women adjust to life after a divorce.

In addition to the above, she has written and given political commentaries for American family living.

She has also been trained to do drama therapy, a form of role playing used for counseling. Her mentor is Chaplain Steve Lowell who is employed at the Orange County Juvenile Hall, where she has offered her time as a volunteer.

Her training also consists of evaluating personalities in the workforce in order to ensure that prospective employees are properly suited to the particular position for which they are applying.

According to the California State Board of Education (contrary to the information given by the American Civil Liberties Union) students in public schools can be taught biblical literature.⁴ Currently, Ms. Hamilton is trying to educate school districts of this fact.

She is also the President of the JW Foundation.

⁴ For more information, contact Gateways to Better Education at www.gtbe.org

Recommended Reading

This list includes most of the titles referenced in the text above, as well as others that will help the reader understand the problems we consider. All are available in local libraries and bookstores or online book sources.

* * * * *

Babbin, Jed. *Inside the Asylum: Why the United Nations and Old Europe Are Worse Than You Think*. Regnery Publishing, 2004.

Bailey, Ronald ed. *Global Warming and Other Eco Myths: How the Environmental Movement Uses False Science to Scare Us to Death*. Prima Lifestyles, 2002.

Cargill, Mary Terrell, Jade Quang Huynh, and Jade Ngoc Quang Huynh, eds., *Voices of Vietnamese Boat People: Nineteen Narratives of Escape and Survival*. New York: McFarland & Company, 2001.

Courtois, Stephanie, et al. *The Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression*. Harvard University Press, 1999.

Goldberg, Bernard. *Bias: A CBS Insider Exposes How the Media Distorts the News*. Perennial Press, 2003.

Goldberg, Bernard. *Arrogance: Rescuing America from the Media Elite*. Warner Books, 2003.

Gwartney, James, Richard L. Stroup and Dwight R. Lee. *Common Sense Economics: What Everyone Should Know About Wealth and Prosperity*. St. Martin's Press, 2005.

Hayek, F.A. *The Road to Serfdom*. University of Chicago Press, 1994.

Haynes, John Earl and Harvey Klehr. *Venona: Decoding Soviet Espionage in America*. Yale University Press, 2000.

Hazlitt, Henry. *Economics in One Lesson*. Three Rivers Press, 1988.

Horowitz, David. *Hating Whitey, and Other Progressive Causes*. Spence Publishing Company, 1999.

Horowitz, David. *Unholy Alliance: Radical Islam and the American Left*. Regnery Publishing, 2004.

Magnet, Myron. *The Dream and the Nightmare: The Sixties' Legacy to the Underclass*. William Morrow, 1993.

Michaels, Patrick J. *Meltdown: The Predictable Distortion of Global Warming by Scientists, Politicians, and the Media*. Cato Institute Press, 2004.

Muravchik, Joshua. *Heaven on Earth: The Rise and Fall of Socialism*. Encounter Books, 2002.

Nord, Warren. *Religion and American Education*. University of North Carolina Press, 1995.

Pauwels, Louis and Jacques Bergier. *Morning of the Magicians*. Avon Books, 1964.

Peterson, Jesse Lee and Brad Stetson. *From Rage to Responsibility: Black Conservative Jesse Lee Peterson and America Today*. Continuum International Publishing Group, 2000.

Rutledge, Paul James. *The Vietnamese Experience in America*. Indiana University Press, 1992.

Stetson, Brad and Joseph Conti, *The Truth About Tolerance: Pluralism, Diversity and the Culture Wars*. Intervarsity Press, 2005.

Washington, Booker T. *Up From Slavery: An Autobiography of Booker T. Washington*. Dover Press, 1978.

Weinstein, Allen. *Perjury: The Hiss-Chambers Case*. Knopf, 1978.

West, Thomas G. *Vindicating the Founders*. Rowman & Littlefield, 1999.

HOW CAN YOU PERPETUATE THE CONSERVATIVE IDOLOGY?

1. Become educated in the political arena and run for a public office.
2. Complain to your newspaper if you notice their articles are bias.
3. Read articles written by conservative authors and become informed.
4. Arrange to speak at local gatherings.
5. Write your congressman.
6. Volunteer your time when candidates are running for office.

WHAT CAN YOU DO IF YOU ARE A STUDENT?

1. Hold up signs on the campus that say, "Is your liberal professor giving you the facts?" Direct fellow students to click on www.whosedeceivingtheliberals.com.
2. Start a conservative newspaper on campus.
3. Don't be afraid to confront your liberal professor with truth.
4. Form a conservative group on your campus.

WHAT CAN YOU DO IF YOU ARE A PARENT?

1. Be aware of what your children are being taught.
2. Go to P.T.A. meetings.
3. Run for the school board.
4. Encourage your child to stand for truth in the classroom.
5. Your state's department of education has written academic standards indicating where public schools can teach about the Bible and Christianity. For more information, visit Gateways to Better Education at www.gtbe.org.